



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/851,739	05/09/2001	Ronald Shinogle	00-151	3864
75	590 07/15/2003			
LIELL & MCNEIL ATTN: Michael B. McNeil 511 South Madison St.			EXAMINER	
			EVANS, ROBIN OCTAVIA	
Bloomington, IN 47402-2417			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3752	9
			DATE MAILED: 07/15/2003	8

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		09/851,739	SHINOGLE, RONALD
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Robin O. Evans	3752
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) diwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDON	timely filed  ays will be considered timely.  m the mailing date of this communication.  NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19.	<u>June 2003</u> .	
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL. 2b) Th	nis action is non-final.	
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under		
•	ion of Claims	_	
•	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>12-20</u> is/are withdray	wn from consideration.	
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
•	Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.		
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	1	
• —	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/c ion Papers	or election requirement.	
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	ar	
,—	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce		caminer
.0)	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on		
,	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	•	·
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.	
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13)□	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		
ĺ	1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received in Applica	ation No
* (	Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a list.	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	•	
	a) $\square$ The translation of the foreign language pr		
15) 🗌	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes		
Attachmen		A\ \ Interview Summ	any (PTO-413) Paper No/e)
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Information	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)
.S. Patent and 1	Frademark Office		

Application/Control Number: 09/851,739

Art Unit: 3752

#### DETAILED ACTION

## Response to Amendment

1. The amendment presented in communication filed April 17, 2003 as Paper No. 6 is acknowledged.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).
- 3. Claims 1, 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Foreign Patent No. 151 793 to Auwarter et al.

Auwarter et al. shows a fuel injector having an injector body 4, nozzle outlet 3, and a nonmetallic insulator 11, 15 attached to a portion of the outer surface of the body.

The method steps recited in claim 9 will inherently be performed by the assembly and usage of the injector shown by Auwarter et al.

4. Claims 1, 3, 4 and 8, 9 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kato et al.

Art Unit: 3752

Kato et al. shows a fuel injector 117 with an insulator 414 attached to the other surface of the body by collar 450.

As to claim 3 and 4 and the limitation that the insulator is made from ceramic material or is ceramic, see column 12, lines 11-13.

As to claim 8 and the limitation that the insulator is sufficiently resistant to heat transfer, see column 12, lines 31-51, which discloses that the body of the fuel injector is insulated from the cylinder head of the engine and therefore the fuel injector is prevented from overheating.

The method steps recited in claim 9 will inherently be performed by the assembly and usage of the injector shown by Kato et al.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 2-7, 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Auwarter et al.

Auwarter et al. shows all of the claimed limitations but does not show the tip defining a "plurality of nozzle outlets" or the insulator being ceramic. Since it is well known in the art of fuel injectors to have either a single orifice or a plurality of nozzle outlets, it is deemed that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have made Auwarter's fuel injector with a plurality of nozzle outlets so as to be able to inject more fuel during each injection period to have a quick starting engine. Although it is unclear

Art Unit: 3752

whether the insulator shown by the Foreign Patent is ceramic, it is deemed that since ceramic is a well known insulator it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have use ceramic as the insulator since ceramic is known as a good insulator which as a heat transfer rate which is smaller than most other heat insulators so that no overheating is insured.

As to claim 5 and the limitation that the insulator is less than about 3 millimeters thick, it is deemed that the thickness of the insulator will be determined by the user having a desired result in mind.

As to claim 6 and the limitation that the insulator is sufficiently resistant to heat transfer such that the temperature of said valve seat would not reach a tempering temperature if exposed to conditions corresponding to engine compression release braking, it is deemed that the user when determining the insulator will choose a insulator which will achieve the results desired and is chosen so that the injector will not experience overheating. Therefore it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art when choosing an insulator to choose one, which will meet all of the requirements and results desired by the user.

7. Claims 2, 5-7 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kato et al.

Kato et al. discloses all of the claimed limitations but does not show the nozzle outlet being a plurality of outlets. Since it is well known in the art of fuel injectors to have either a single orifice or a plurality of nozzle outlets, it is deemed that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have made Auwarter's fuel

Application/Control Number: 09/851,739

Art Unit: 3752

injector with a plurality of nozzle outlets so as to be able to inject more fuel during each injection period to have a quick starting engine.

Page 5

As to claim 5 and the limitation that the insulator is less than about 3 millimeters thick, it is deemed that the thickness of the insulator will be determined by the user having a desired result in mind.

As to claim 6 and the limitation that the insulator is sufficiently resistant to heat transfer such that the temperature of said valve seat would not reach a tempering temperature if exposed to conditions corresponding to engine compression release braking, it is deemed that the user when determining the insulator will choose a insulator which will achieve the results desired and is chosen so that the injector will not experience overheating. Therefore it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art when choosing an insulator to choose one, which will meet all of the requirements and results desired by the user.

#### Response to Arguments

- 8. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 9. As to applicant's argument that Kato et al. does not disclose that the insulator is not attached to the outer surface of the injector, Kato et al. discloses that the insulator is press (or attached) to the outside surface of the injector by collar 450 as shown in Figure 17.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this 10. Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/851,739

Art Unit: 3752

Page 6

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Robin O. Evans whose telephone number is (703) 305-5766. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 6:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Michael Mar can be reached on (703) 308-2087. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7766 for regular

communications and (703) 308-7766 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0861.

Robin O. Evans

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3752

roe

July 14, 2003